## Interventions for melasma

- Melasma who what where why?
- Evidence for what treatments work- Cochrane review
- New key trials
- My practice and pitfalls

> Melasma – who what when where why?

> Evidence for what treatments work- Cochrane review

> New key trials

> My practice and pitfalls

- Melasma who what where why?
- Evidence for what treatments work- Cochrane review
- New key trials
- My practice and pitfalls

Systematic review of randomized controlled trials on interventions for melasma: an abridged Cochrane review. J Am Acad Dermatol 2014 Feb;70(2): 369-73

- Melasma who what where why?
- Evidence for what treatments work- Cochrane review
- New key trials
- My practice and pitfalls

- Melasma who what where why?
- Evidence for what treatments work- Cochrane review
- New key trials
- My practice and pitfalls

## What is Melasma?

- Acquired, chronic recurrent hyperpigmentation of the skin
- Characterised by symmetrical light to dark muddy brown macules and patches mostly on the areas of the face exposed to the sun, such as the cheek bones, forehead, and chin

## Who is affected ?

- More common in women
- Prevalence of melasma ranges from 8.8% in Latino females in Southern US to as high as 40% in Southeast Asian populations.
- A survey of 2000 Afro Carribean participants in Washington found melasma to be the third most common pigmentary disorder of the skin
- A multicenter survey of females from 9 countries found that Fitzpatrick skin phototypes III and IV were most commonly affected.

## Why melasma occurs ?

- UV light, is commonly reported initiating or exacerbating factor. Patients report increased severity of melasma with sun exposure.
- Genetic predisposition -high reported incidence in family members in several studies but the exact risk is unknown.
- Hormonal link to melasma- Many patients note onset or worsening with pregnancy or oral contraceptives. Studies report 5-50% of patients identified pregnancy as a triggering factor.
- Thyroid disorders and stress

Melasma – who what where why?

 Evidence for what treatments work- Cochrane systematic review

New key trials

My practice and pitfalls

## Interventions for melasma

Assess treatments to limit or reduce melasma and prevent recurrence

No language restriction

Published and unpublished RCTs relating to the treatment of melasma

• Open label trials (placebo use possible) were included if assessment was done blindly

• Open label trials (placebo use not possible) included if assessment was done blindly OR objective measures used

• Patient assessed change in melasma severity and QOL

• Physician assessed change, Side effects

## Summary findings

- Included 20 studies
- 2125 participants
- 23 different treatments
- Bleaching agent eg hydroquinone (8)- Balina 1991b, Chan 2008, Ennes 2000 Espinal Perez 2004, Hurley 2002, Vazquez 1983, Wang 2004; Sivayathorn 1995
- Azelaic acid (2) -Balina 1991b; Sivayathorn 1995
- Topical retinoid (3)-Griffiths 1993; Kimborough-Green 1994, Leenutaphong 1999
- Combination creams (6)- Espinal Perez 2004; Chan 2008; Taylor 2003; Guevara 2003; Lim 1997;Lim 1999
- Combination therapies (4)-Hurley 2002; Lim 1997; Wang 2004; Ejaz 2008
- Less conventional therapies(4)- Khemis 2007; Huh 2003; Thirion 2006; Franscisco Diaz 2004



## Summary findings

- Included 20 studies
- 2125 participants
- 23 different treatments
- Bleaching agent eg hydroquinone (8)- Balina 1991b, Chan 2008, Ennes 2000, Espinal Perez 2004, Hurley 2002, Vazquez 1983, Wang 2004; Sivayathorn 1995
- Azelaic acid (2) -Balina 1991b; Sivayathorn 1995
- Topical retinoid (3)-Griffiths 1993; Kimborough-Green 1994, Leenutaphong 1999
- Combination creams (6)- Espinal Perez 2004; Chan 2008; Taylor 2003; Guevara 2003; Lim 1997;Lim 1999
- Combination therapies (4)-Hurley 2002; Lim 1997; Wang 2004; Ejaz 2008
- Less conventional therapies(4)- Khemis 2007; Huh 2003; Thirion 2006; Franscisco Diaz 2004

## WHAT FORMULATION OF HYDROQUINONE TO USE?

# What formulation of hydroquinone to use?

- The formulation of hydroquinone used was mostly 4% hydroquinone cream
- All trials using hydroquinone compared 2 active interventions.

#### Evidence for 4% Hydroquinone



• Physicians assessed improvement according to one of three categories: total improvement, partial improvement, or failure.

Physician subjective evaluation of improvement

	4% HQ + sunscreen	Sunscreen only	
Total improvement	8	2	
Partial improvement	12	14	
Failure	0	4	*Outcomes were not reported in 5
Total	20	20	participants

- Statistically significant difference between the groups in favour of HQ and sunscreen. (authors report P = 0.0082-unclear which category of improvement analysed)
- This significant difference between the groups evident from week 3.
- No difference in tolerability. Adverse events eg. mild erythema(RR 1.37, 95% Cl 0.49 to 3.85). No serious adverse events

#### 4% Hydroquinone - Conclusion

 Hydroquinone 4% is a safe and effective for the treatment of melasma and that sunscreens are important as concomitant treatment by way of preventing repigmentation.



- One outcome measure and incomplete
- well tolerated
- early onset of action- week 3

#### WOULD COMBINING HYDROQUINONE WITH ANOTHER TREATMENT BE MORE EFFECTIVE?

## Evidence for 4% Hydroquinone + Peels



- 11/18 participants felt there was more improvement on the peeled side versus 4/18 on the non-peeled side. One of the 18 felt there was no difference between the 2 sides.
- Missing data on two participants

#### Evidence for 4% Hydroquinone + Peels

- On the physician evaluation, there was a significant improvement from baseline in both groups there was no significant difference between the sides in terms of objective mexameter reading or subjective MASI scores.
- Four participants developed significant erythema though no peeling or erosions occurred secondary to the peels.

#### 4% Hydroquinone + Peels- Conclusion

• Authors concluded that 4% hydroquinone is effective in the treatment of melasma but the addition of 4 glycolic acid peels did not enhance the effect of hydroquinone.



-Incomplete data on participant outcomes

-Sponsored by ICN pharmaceuticals manufacture peels and creams

## Evidence for 4% HQ + Intense Pulse Light



- The frequency of hydroquinone application in either group is unclear.
- The rationale for hydroquinone in the control arm where participants had been shown to be unresponsive is also unclear.

Participant subjective evaluation of improvement

	4% HQ 4% HQ + IPL	
Satisfied	0	23.5%
Slightly satisfied	64%	53%
Unsatisfied	36%	23.5%
Total no. participants	17	14

- On objective measures, there was a greater reduction in the melanin index score in the hydroquinone and pulsed light group ((39.8% in HQ+IPL versus HQ group 11.6% authors report P < 0.05).</li>
- Adverse events were noted in the IPL group- mild erythema and pain, microcrust for 1-2 weeks, 2 patients with PIH settled with HQ.

#### 4% HQ + Intense Pulse Light- Conclusion

• Authors concluded that IPL is safe and effective treatment for refractory melasma with minimal side effects.



-No frequency of HQ and if same between groups

-2/17 post inflammatory hyperpigmentation in the IPL group

#### ARE THERE ALTERNATIVES AT LEAST AS EFFECTIVE HYDROQUINONE?

#### 20% Azelaic acid vs 4% Hydroquinone



• There was a large loss to follow up (86 participants). Differential loss to follow up not significant. Assessments performed on 122 participants in the azelaic acid and 121 participants in the hydroquinone group.

#### 20% Azelaic acid vs 4% Hydroquinone

- Physicians rated 71.9% of those in the hydroquinone group as good/excellent response versus 64.8% in the azelaic acid group (RR 1.11, 95% CI 0.94 to 1.32;).
- On the objective measure of reduction in lesion size, no significant difference was demonstrated.
- Side-effects (local irritation) were mild occurring more frequently in the azelaic acid group (18/122) versus the hydroquinone group (1/121 allergic sensitisation) (RR 17.85, CI 2.42 to 131.64;)

#### 20% Azelaic acid vs 4% HQ-Conclusion

• No significant differences between 20% azelaic acid and 4% HQ. Severe side effects did not occur with azelaic acid.



-Large loss to follow up (26%)

-Local irritation in 18/122 azelaic acid

-Sponsored by Schering AG, Berlin- unclear if they manufacture study creams

#### 20% Azelaic acid vs <u>2% Hydroquinone</u>



- Physicians rated significantly more participants/inethe azelaic acid group (75.5%) as having a good/excellent response compared to 2% HQ group(47.1%).
- No statistically significant difference between the groups on objective measure of reduction in lesion size.
- Itching, burning, and erythema in 76/147 in the azelaic acid group and 24/153 in the HQ group. (RR 3.3, 95% CI 2.21 to 4.91)

#### 20% Azelaic acid vs <u>2% HQ</u>-Conclusion

• 20% Azelaic more effective than 2% HQ on some measures comparable on others.



- Confirms the side effect profile of Azelaic acid, irritation in 76/153 vs 23/153

-Lower strength of HQ may be less effective

#### ARE THERE ANY TOPICALS MORE EFFECTIVE THAN HQ?

#### Evidence for Hydroquinone + Sunscreen



• The physicians rated a higher proportion of participants in the hydroquinone and sunscreen group (96.3%) as improved compared to the hydroquinone-only group (80.8%).

Participant subjective evaluation of improvement

		3% HQ + sunscreen	3% HQ	
	Marked improvement	8	7	
	Moderate improvement	14	14	
	Slight improvement	5	4	
	Worse	0	1	
<ul> <li>9 participants arm.</li> </ul>	Total	27	26	lear wh

#### 3% Hydroquinone + Sunscreen- Conclusion

• Although no statistical analysis was conducted, the trial authors concluded that hydroquinone is the main stay of therapy and addition of a sunscreen has a positive effect.



-Only study to evaluate the effect of sunscreen on melasma

-Incomplete data eg 6 patients loss to fu- unsure which group

-Neutrogena and Herbert laboratories supplied study creams
# Triple combination cream

(fluocinolone acetonide 0.01%, HQ 4%, tretinoin 0.05%) VS 4% HQ



8 weeks

 On participant reported outcomes, significantly more participants (71%) in the triple-combination group versus 50% in the hydroquinone group were satisfied or very satisfied (trial authors report P = 0.005).

#### Triple combination cream vs 4% HQ

- This significant difference was also reflected in the physician assessment. More participants in the TC group achieved score of 0 (none) or 1(mild) on melasma severity scale. Authors stated P < 0.001.
- Early onset of action with significant differences in the score evident at week 4.
- More patients had related adverse events on TC (63/129, 48.8%) than on HQ (18/131, 13.7%) but most were mild (erythema, irritation and discomfort) and none severe.

## Triple combination cream vs 4% HQ-Conclusion

• Efficacy in Asians and patient satisfaction were superior with TC than with HQ 4%



-Patient satisfaction assessed

-Early onset of action, though half of patients had side effects (48.8% versus 13.7%)

-Sponsored by Galderma manufacture TCC, 2 authors employees of Galderma

## DO YOU NEED ALL THREE INGREDIENTS IN TRIPLE COMBINATION CREAM?

# Triple combination cream vs Dual combination agents



# Triple combination cream vs Dual combination agents- Conclusion

• Triple combination was significantly more efficacious compared to each dual combinations on physician subjective evaluation



-Study design was complex- Pooled data ? Homogenous

-Confirms that side effect profile of TC seen in 63% (erythema, desquamation, burning)

-Sponsored by Galderma

#### ARE THERE ANY OTHER ALTERNATIVE TREATMENTS?

### Evidence for 0.1% Tretinoin cream



- 94% epidermal, 4% dermal, and 2% mixed melasma.
- At 40 weeks there was significant difference favouring tretinoin on physician assessed subjective measures and objectively with colorimetry.

#### Evidence for 0.1% Tretinoin cream

- The onset of improvement is slow. First significant improvement occurred at 24 weeks of tretinoin treatment.
- Moderate redness and peeling noted in 22/25 tretinoin participants. In a further five tretinoin participants the reaction was severe.

### 0.1% Tretinoin cream- Conclusion

• Topical 0.1% tretinoin produces significant clinical improvement of melasma, mainly due to reduction in epidermal pigment, but improvement is slow.



-Study with longest duration.

-Numbers of side effects confusing nonetheless moderate /severe side effects in all patients

-WJohnson Pharmaceutical research institute, NJ but no part in design or conduct of study and Babcock dermatologic endowment, michigan, USA

## 2. Evidence for 0.1% Tretinoin cream



- 43% epidermal, 37% dermal, and 20% mixed melasma.
- 2 subjective measures. No significant difference on the scale of much worse to much improved, there was significant difference in mean reduction of MASI score (32% in tretinoin group vs placebo 10%, P = 0.03).
- The significant improvement was also confirmed on colorimetry (the trial authors report P = 0.02).

## 0.1% Tretinoin cream- Conclusion 2

 More adverse events in tretinoin group with mild erythema and/or peeling in 10/15 participants versus 1/15 in the placebo group (RR 10.0, 95% CI 1.46 to 68.69).



-Long duration. Efficacy in dermal melasma. (some not all measures)

-Confirms side effects

-RWJohnson Pharmaceutical research institute but no part in design or conduct of study and Babcock dermatologic endowment, michigan, USA

### Omissions

- Less conventional therapies- Rucinol serum, Vitamin C iontophoresis, Thiospot, Gigawhite
- Combination creams-
- HQ+Glycolic acid+ Vit C+ Vit E+ sunscreen
- HQ+ Glycolic acid+ kojic acid
- HQ+Glycolic acid
- Isotretinoin gel
- Jessners peel/ Salicyclic acid peel
- 5% Lascorbic acid

# Overview

Melasma – who what where why?

 Evidence for what treatments work- Cochrane systematic review
Preventing

✓ New key trials

Preventing melasma recurrence

My practice and pitfalls

# New trials- preventing melasma recurrence



**2012 JEADV 26;611-8.** Preventing melasma recurrence: prescribing a maintenance regimen with an effective triple combination cream based on long standing clinical severity

- In both arms 53% remained relapse free (53.8% in twice weekly vs 53% in tapering regimen). Time to relapse was similar in both groups (mean 190 days)
- Side effects (redness and irritation) 10.9% in tapering vs 12.2% in twice weekly. 1 patient had atrophy in the twice weekly group, 6 telangectasia
- After resolution of melasma, maintenance therapy over 6 months could prevent recurrence in over half of patients

## New trials- preventing melasma recurrence



- No information on previous treatment. Primary outcome- MASI
- The median increase in MASI from baseline to month 6 was significantly higher with formula B (no visible light protection) compared to formula A (P=0.027)
- Sunscreen with UVA/UVB and visible light filters are more protective against relapses than sunscreen without visible light protection

# Overview

Melasma – who what where why?

 Evidence for what treatments work- Cochrane systematic review

New key trials

My practice and pitfalls

Is the diagnosis melasma?

Aggravating factors -UV + visible light - Hormonal

#### Treatment

Recurrence

Is the diagnosis melasma? Aggravating factors -UV + visible light - Hormonal

#### Treatment

Recurrence

Is the diagnosis melasma

#### Aggravating factors -UV + visible

#### Treatment

#### Recurrence

Early/**epidermal melasma-** tretinoin, lower strengths, Side effects, long term treatment. Moisturiser **Mixed/dermal melasma-** Triple combination cream 2 months, Side effects, moisturiser Azelaic acid- Side effects 4% HQ

Is the diagnosis melasma Aggravating factors -UV + visible light - Hormonal

#### Treatment

Recurrence

# Thank you

Ratna\_rajaratnam@cgh.com.sg